

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,447	08/05/2003	Martin Standing	IR-2550 DIV	6706
7590 09/20/2005			EXAMINER	
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFTEN, LLP			POTTER, ROY KARL	
1180 Avenue of			ARTIBUT	DADED ME (DED
New York, NY 10036-8403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/634,447	STANDING ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Roy K. Potter	2822	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is is not of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		,		
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1.3-17 and 25-41 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 1.3-6 and 8-34 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 7 and 40-41 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) [ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document:  2. Certified copies of the priority document:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2822

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 40 – 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kajiwara et al. in view of Chang et al.

Kajiwara et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,774,466, discloses a semiconductor device package. As shown in the embodiment of Figure 4A, the package comprises an electrical connector 54 which is copper, an electrically and thermally conductive material. The connector also includes an Ag web or mesh sheet 58, described in column 15, line 31, and a conductive post. A semiconductor die 50 has a first power contact on a first major surface, connected to the web 58 and a second power contact 55 on a second major surface. The conductive post is located opposite an edge of the die and extends between the first power contact and the second power contact 55. The second surface of the die also has a control contact 56, described in line 20 of column 15. Column 5, line 51 describes the devices that the package can be used with, including MOSFETs. Figure 1b shows an embodiment with a second conductive post. Kajiwara et al. does not disclose a cup shaped structure.

Chang et al. discloses a semiconductor die package with a cup shaped leadframe structure. As shown in Figure 1, die 12 is located in a cup-shaped

leadframe14. In column 21, line 4, Chang describes the die 12 as being a vertical MOSFET. The die is connected to the cup-shape by conductive epoxy 16, as described in column 2, line 7.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the cup shape taught by Chang with the device of Kajiwara et al., as both are directed to MOSFET packages and Chang teaches that such a shape creates a package that is easy to manufacture and can be made very thin.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 3-6, 8-17, 25 – 34 are allowed.

Claims 35-40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy K. Potter whose telephone number is 571 272 1842. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,447 Page 4

Art Unit: 2822

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roy K Potter Primary Examiner Art Unit 2822